### **SECTION 2 - PROJECTED OPERATING RESULTS**

Missouri River Energy Services (MRES) worked with Fort Pierre staff to estimate the annual revenues and the expenditures, "revenue requirements", for the five-year study period of 2008 to 2012. Revenue requirements must be compared to revenues to determine whether the electric utility will recover all of its costs and provide a margin for a reserve for system replacements, contingencies, and rate stabilization. The analyses and assumptions used in developing these estimates are described below. Exhibits 2-A and 2-B at the end of this section present the projected operating results and cash reserves.

### ESTIMATED REVENUES

Estimated revenues consist of electric sales, generation capacity payments, and other operating revenues. Electric sales were estimated based on current rates and using the wholesale demand and energy forecasts and the customer class growth projections discussed in Section 1. Other operating revenues include connection fees, penalties, and sales of supplies and materials, and these revenues are expected to remain stable at approximately \$52,000 per year from 2009 through 2012.

MRES capacity payments are based on the Dedicated Capacity Agreement with MRES and the payment schedule. Total revenues from the agreement during the study period are estimated at approximately \$926,000.

### ESTIMATED REVENUE REQUIREMENTS

The revenue requirements of the electric utility consist of purchased power and transmission expenses; other operating expenses, non-operating revenues and expenses, and capital expenditures. Revenue requirements projections were based on historical operating statements from 2004 through 2007; the 2008 and 2009 budgets; estimated purchased power expenses from the MRES forecast; and discussions with Fort Pierre staff.

### **Purchased Power and Transmission Expenses**

The estimated wholesale power expenses are based on actual and projected Western Area Power Administration (WAPA) and MRES rates during the study period and forecasts by MRES of system demand and energy requirements, as outlined in Section 1. WAPA has been increasing its rates since 2004 and most recently increased rates by 25.3% on January 1, 2008.

According to WAPA, the major factors contributing to the rate increases are (1) the reduced hydropower generation due to several drought years, which have necessitated more purchases in the wholesale market and have decreased non-firm energy sales, (2) increased operating and maintenance expenses, (3) increased capital investments, (4) and interest expense on deficits from years in which revenues did not cover expenses.

The 2008 WAPA composite rate of 2.5 cents per kWh separates normal operating and maintenance costs from drought-related costs that have accumulated. Approximately 36%, or 0.9 cents per kWh, of the composite rate is due to the repayment of drought deficits. The drought portion of the rate will be analyzed annually and adjusted each January, if needed, up to 0.2 cents per kWh.

WAPA has proposed a composite rate increase from 2.45 cents per kWh in 2008 to 2.93 cents per kWh, or a 19.8% increase. Due to unpredictable water conditions, purchased power prices, and possible changes in transmission costs, WAPA has not finalized the necessary rate change for 2010. However, MRES assumed a composite rate increase of 0.27 cents to 3.2 cents per kWh, or 9%, in 2010. No further WAPA increases have been assumed for 2011 and 2012.

| WAPA Actual and       | Projected Wholesale Deman | d and Energy Rates |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|
|                       | Demand Rate               | All Energy         |
| Year                  | (\$/kW-mo)                | (\$/MWh)           |
| 2008 (Actual)         | \$5.65                    | \$13.99            |
| 2009 (Proposed)       | \$6.80                    | \$16.71            |
| 2010-2012 (Projected) | \$7.40                    | \$18.21            |

Since 2004, MRES has seen several operating expenses significantly increase due to a variety of factors which has resulted in recent wholesale power rate increases. Rail transportation rates for shipping coal from the mine to the Laramie River Station power plant more than doubled since October 2004 and continue to increase annually. In the winter of 2006/2007, the widespread Nebraska ice storms that destroyed over 1,100 major transmission line structures resulted in MRES incurring significant replacement power costs, including operating higher-cost generation resources.

Most recently, other cost pressures include increasing costs of purchasing coal, higher ongoing purchased power costs, lower power sales on the open market, and the need to replenish reserves drawn down in 2006, 2007, and 2008. As a result, the MRES Board of Directors (Board) approved a rate increase of 18.4% for January 1, 2009. Furthermore, due to the need to continue replenishing reserves and due to other cost pressures, the study has assumed an additional increase of 9% in 2010, which will be discussed at the MRES Finance Committee meeting planned for February 2009.

In September 2008, the MRES Board approved a long-range plan to change the wholesale power rate structure. In 2009 and 2010, the plan includes moving the Tier 2 demand rate closer to the Tier 1 demand rate. By 2011, the Board's intention is to implement seasonal demand rates, which would better reflect the power supply costs during each operating season. The planned rate structure changes will likely have an impact on Fort Pierre's wholesale power costs and retail rate structure.

Actual and projected MRES rates used during the study period are shown on the next page.

| MRES                     | Actual and Projected             | d Wholesale Rates (A          | <b>A</b> )         |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| Year                     | Tier 1 Demand<br>(\$/kW-mo.) (B) | Tier 2 Demand (\$/kW-mo.) (B) | Energy<br>(\$/MWh) |
| Jan. 1, 2008 (Actual)    | \$13.95                          | \$8.35                        | \$21.90            |
| Jan. 1, 2009 (Projected) | \$15.60                          | \$11.90                       | \$26.50            |
| Jan. 1, 2010 (Projected) | \$16.60                          | \$14.75                       | \$29.00            |
| 2011 - 2012 (Projected)  | \$16.25                          | \$16.25                       | \$29.00            |

<sup>(</sup>A) Rates are reviewed each year by the MRES Board of Directors. Future rates may be higher than shown above. The 2011 and 2012 demand rates are the possible average rates, although actual rates will vary by season.

Finally, in April 2008, the MRES Board approved offering the T-1 (Transmission) Agreement along with a Member Transmission Lease (MTL) Agreement to the MRES membership in 2008 and 2009. Both agreements are optional, and the net impact to Fort Pierre's total transmission costs is unknown at this time. Therefore, the study did not assume any changes to Fort Pierre's projected costs. MRES staff will be discussing these agreements in detail with member utilities' staff and governing boards later this year and in 2009. The planned date of implementation for the T-1 and MTL agreements is January 2010.

Total purchased power expenses are expected to increase by an average of 8.4% per year, with higher percentages in 2008 and 2009 as a result of load growth and wholesale power rate increases. These expenses make up about 60% of total operating expenses.

The table below shows the estimated wholesale power expenses based on the forecasted purchases shown in the previous section and the rates in the tables on the previous pages.

|      | Esti      | mated Wholesale I | Power Expenses |            |
|------|-----------|-------------------|----------------|------------|
|      |           |                   | Total          | Percentage |
| Year | WAPA      | MRES              | Expense        | Increase   |
| 2008 | \$342,405 | \$581,759         | \$924,164      | 11.5%      |
| 2009 | \$409,852 | \$744,342         | \$1,154,194    | 24.9%      |
| 2010 | \$446,459 | \$833,212         | \$1,279,671    | 10.9%      |
| 2011 | \$441,848 | \$862,201         | \$1,304,049    | 1.9%       |
| 2012 | \$442,559 | \$881,722         | \$1,324,281    | 1.6%       |

The following table breaks down the cost per kWh in cents from the two suppliers. The WAPA and MRES amounts were calculated by dividing the costs by the kWhs purchased from each entity. The total blended costs were divided by total energy purchases.

<sup>(</sup>B) The demand rates include the \$2.75 per kW-month S-1 transmission charge.

| Estin | nated Wholesale | Power Cost per l<br>Blended Cost per | kWh Purchased by StockWh Purchased | upplier and Total |
|-------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|
|       |                 |                                      | Total Blended                      | Percentage        |
| Year  | WAPA            | MRES                                 | Cost per kWh                       | Increase          |
| 2008  | \$0.0274        | \$0.0465                             | \$0.0370                           | 9.3%              |
| 2009  | \$0.0329        | \$0.0569                             | \$0.0452                           | 22.2%             |
| 2010  | \$0.0358        | \$0.0623                             | \$0.0495                           | 9.5%              |
| 2011  | \$0.0358        | \$0.0624                             | \$0.0498                           | 0.6%              |
| 2012  | \$0.0358        | \$0.0625                             | \$0.0500                           | 0.4%              |

### **Other Operating Expenses**

Other operating expenses include personnel services, other current expenses, and depreciation expense. Under personnel services, salaries and wages are expected to increase by 4% per year and health insurance is expected to increase by 8% per year. Other current expenses are expected to increase by 3% per year, and depreciation is based on planned capital expenditures.

### **Non-Operating Revenues and Expenses**

Non-operating revenues and expenses include interest revenue and expense. Interest revenue is estimated at a rate of 3% of cash reserves. Interest expense is discussed next.

### **Debt-Financed Capital Expenditures**

Following is a summary of the four debt issuances current outstanding:

|                              | <b>Current De</b> | bt Issuances |             |                |
|------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------|----------------|
|                              |                   |              | Original    |                |
|                              | Issuance          | Final        | Principal   | Approx. Annual |
| Improvement / Issuance       | Date              | Maturity     | Amount      | Debt Service   |
| Irv Simmons Substation       | 1983              | 2015         | \$144,858   | \$6,000        |
| Generation                   | 2002              | 2019         | \$3,225,000 | \$300,000      |
| Equipment (Electric portion) | 2004              | 2010         | \$55,000    | \$10,000       |
| Distribution Improvements    | 2007              | 2019         | \$750,000   | \$83,000       |
| Total                        |                   |              |             | \$399,000      |

Amortization schedules provided by Fort Pierre were used to determine annual payments for each of these issuances. No additional borrowing is expected during the study period.

### **Revenue-Financed Capital Expenditures**

The electrical system improvements and equipment purchases are based on Fort Pierre's capital plans and discussions with staff. The total capital expenditures during the study period of 2008 through 2012 are estimated at approximately \$156,000. Funds remaining from the 2007 debt issuance will also be used for distribution reliability improvements in 2008 and 2009.

## Fort Pierre Municipal Utilities Electric Utility Operating Results (Current Rates)

|   |   | Historica   | rical  |  |   |   | Estimated   |   |   |
|---|---|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|
|   | 2004  | 2005  | 2006   | 2007   | 2008  | 2009  | 2010  | 2011  | 2012  |
| Total system retail kWh sales<br>kWh Growth   | 19,710,830  | 21,845,155<br>10.8%                               | 22,137,072<br>1.3%                                 | 23,288,659<br>5.2%                                 | 23,386,831<br>0.4%                                | 23,896,759<br>2.2%                                | 24,165,628<br>1.1%                                | 24,464,069<br>1.2%                                | 24,762,063<br>1.2%                                |
| OPERATING REVENUES Charges for goods and services MRES Capacity Payments Other Operating Revenues Total Operating Revenues          | \$ 1,128,238<br>136,097<br>13,291<br>\$ 1,277,625 | \$ 1,296,509<br>168,077<br>19,026<br>\$ 1,483,612 | \$ 1,346,615<br>166,520<br>135,577<br>\$ 1,648,712 | \$ 1,559,605<br>174,331<br>147,706<br>\$ 1,881,642 | \$ 1,711,330<br>178,800<br>39,950<br>\$ 1,930,080 | \$ 1,742,672<br>182,400<br>51,950<br>\$ 1,977,022 | \$ 1,762,282<br>186,000<br>51,950<br>\$ 2,000,232 | \$ 1,783,790<br>189,600<br>51,950<br>\$ 2,025,340 | \$ 1,805,277<br>189,600<br>51,950<br>\$ 2,046,827 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES  Cost of sales Personnel Services Other current expense Depreciation Expense                                     | 607,522<br>235,643<br>150,643<br>120,591          | 669,883<br>311,510<br>154,880<br>143,321          | 712,217<br>278,407<br>256,756<br>148,169           | 828,909<br>312,361<br>121,989<br>150,489           | 924,164<br>373,950<br>225,575<br>152,000          | 1,154,194<br>384,000<br>259,576<br>173,000        | 1,279,671<br>400,024<br>260,565<br>174,000        | 1,304,049<br>416,742<br>268,382<br>175,000        | 1,324,281<br>434,186<br>276,434<br>176,000        |
| lotal Operating Expense NET OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)   | 163,225   | 204,018   | 253,162  | 467,894  | 254,391   | 6,252   | (114,028)   | (138,833)   | (164,074)   |
| NON-OPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSE) Interest Revenue Loss on Disposal of Fixed Assets Interest Expense Total Non-Operating Rev. (Exp.) | 17,526<br>(11,850)<br>(142,933)<br>(137,257)      | 13,203<br>-<br>(143,033)<br>(129,830)             | 23,307<br>-<br>(138,092)<br>(114,785)              | 25,847<br>-<br>(133,445)<br>(107,598)              | 16,000<br>-<br>(163,700)<br>(147,700)             | 16,000<br>-<br>(155,283)<br>(139,283)             | -<br>(145,879)<br>(145,879)                       | -<br>(135,713)<br>(135,713)                       | -<br>(124,424)<br>(124,424)                       |
| CAPITAL CONTRIBUTIONS   | ,   | 94,110  |  | 56,368   | ı   | 1   | 1   | т   | 1   |
| NET INCOME (LOSS)  Net Income (Loss) as a % of Oper Rev   | \$ 25,968   | \$ 168,298  | \$ 138,377<br>8.4%                                 | \$ 416,664   | 5.5%  | \$ (133,031)<br>-6.7%                             | \$ (259,907)<br>-13.0%                            | \$ (274,546)<br>-13.6%                            | \$ (288,497)                                      |

### Fort Pierre Municipal Utilities Electric Utility Cash Reserves (Current Rates)

|  |            | Hist                     | Historical |              |    |                  |  |                       | Est | Estimated             |       |                       |          |                       |
|--|------------|--------------------------|------------|--------------|----|------------------|--|-----------------------|-----|-----------------------|-------|-----------------------|----------|-----------------------|
|  | 2004       | 2005                     | 2006       | 2007         |    | 2008             |  | 2009                  |     | 2010                  | 2     | 2011                  | 7        | 2012                  |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) LESS: Revenue-Financed Capital Expenditures ADD: Change in Accounts Receivable | tures      |                          |            |              | ↔  | 106,691 (10,150) | ₩  | (133,031)<br>(32,150) | ₩   | (259,907)<br>(10,150) | \$    | (274,546)<br>(64,000) | <b>∵</b> | (288,497)<br>(40,000) |
| LESS: Debt Principal Payments ADD: Depreciation Expense  |            |                          |            |              |    | (222,181)        | Ŭ  | (234,917)             | _   | (251,933)             | 0 +   | (260,454)             | 3        | (273,213)             |
| CHANGE IN AVAILABLE CASH   |            |                          |            |              | ↔  | 46,360           | \$   |                       | \$  |                       | \$ (4 |                       | \$       | (425,711)             |
| Beginning of Year Available Cash   |            |                          |            |              |    | 36,565           |  | 82,925                | O   | (144,173)             | 4     | (492,164)             | •        | (916,164)             |
| Change in Available Cash   | (77 528)   | ¢ (77 528) ¢ (139 028) ¢ | (162 62E)  | 36 565       | ¥  | 46,360           | <i>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</i> | (227,098)             | #   | (347,991)             | 4 6   | (424,000)             | , F      | (425,711)             |
| Reserves as % of Oper. Rev.  | -6.1%      | -9.4%                    | 11         | 1.9%         | •  | 4.3%             |  | -7.3%                 |     | II                    |       |                       |          | -65.6%                |
| Project Fund - 2007 Debt Issuance  | 1          | 1                        | 1          | \$ 655,000   |    | 1                |  | ī                     |     | 1                     |       | 1                     |          | Ţ                     |
| Debt Service Reserve   | 331,000    | 331,000                  | 331,000    | 331,000      |    | 331,000          |  | 331,000               |     | 331,000               | ന     | 331,000               |          | 331,000               |
| Sinking Fund   | 16,619     | 14,397                   | 13,205     | 32,400       |    | 32,400           |  | 32,400                |     | 32,400                |       | 32,400                |          | 32,400                |
| End of Year Restricted Cash  | \$ 347,619 | \$ 345,397               | \$ 344,205 | \$ 1,018,400 | €9 | 363,400          | €9   | 363,400               | €9- | 363,400               | 8     | 363,400               | €9       | 363,400               |

### SECTION 3 - COST-OF-SERVICE STUDY

The purpose of this study is to determine the cost of providing service to each customer class so that these costs can be compared to actual customer revenues. The cost-of-service analysis has been based on the following factors:

- Test Year revenue requirements and revenues using current rates
- Total system and customer class demand and energy requirements
- Actual and assumed customer service characteristics
- Information obtained from customer records

Test Year revenue requirements are classified to cost components and allocated to each customer class based upon service characteristics. These allocated costs are then compared to revenues to determine if current rates recover the appropriate level of revenues from each customer class.

### **CLASSIFICATION OF COSTS**

To allocate costs to customer classifications, costs must first be categorized to components. The six cost components and the types of costs assigned to each are as follows:

**Coincident Peak Demand Component** – The costs of purchasing sufficient power to meet the aggregate demand of all the customers at the time of the system peak. Coincident peak demand costs do not generally vary with the level of energy used. These costs include only capacity-related wholesale power costs.

**Energy Component** – The costs of supplying electricity to meet customer requirements. These costs will vary directly with the usage of electricity. This includes only the energy portions of the wholesale power bills.

Non-Coincident Peak Demand Component – The costs of operating and maintaining an electric system that will meet the individual peak demands of each customer class, regardless of when this peak occurs. The costs include all local generation costs (offset by generation capacity payments); a portion of administrative salaries, other current expenses, and capital expenditures; and 50% of the following: distribution salaries, distribution related revenues (cost offset), and the reserve for replacements.

Customer Facilities Component – The costs of providing and maintaining transformers, distribution secondary lines, and customer service drops. Customer facilities costs vary directly with the maximum demand of the customer and the type of facilities the customer requires. The costs include a portion of administrative salaries, other current expenses, and capital expenditures; and 50% of the following: distribution salaries, distribution related revenues (cost offset), and the reserve for replacements.

Customer Service Component – The costs associated with billing, collections, and customer assistance. Customer service costs do not vary greatly with peak demand or energy usage of the customer. The costs include all customer billing salaries and a portion of other current expenses.

**Metering Component** – The costs of reading meters to determine monthly bills and maintaining the meters. The costs include a portion salaries, other current expenses, and capital expenditures.

### **Indirect Revenues and Expenses**

Certain revenues and expenses are not categorized to the six components above but rather are allocated to these components based on direct labor spent on each area and the percentage allocations of other distribution expenses. Allocated in this manner are items such as interest revenue, other revenue, and a portion of other current expenses.

### **Summary of Classifications**

Exhibit 3-A at the end of this section shows the detailed classifications of test year revenue requirements. Purchased power costs make up approximately 62% of the total revenue requirements, while local costs make up the remaining 38% of requirements.

### ALLOCATION TO CUSTOMER CLASSIFICATIONS

MRES has determined allocation factors for the Test Year based on actual and assumed customer service characteristics. These allocation factors represent historically accepted ratemaking principles and are based on fully distributed, embedded cost allocation procedures. While these principles may still be useful in establishing a baseline cost level upon which to set rates, it is important to note that in a competitive market some of the allocated costs may not be recovered.

The following summarizes the allocation factors used in the cost-of-service study. See Exhibit 3-B at the end of this section for the development of the factors.

### **Demand Allocations**

Two demand allocators were developed to distribute costs: 12-month coincident peak demand and 12-month non-coincident peak demand. Coincident peak demand is the estimated class demand at the time of the system peak. This factor is used to allocate the wholesale demand costs. The non-coincident peak is the sum of the peaks of the individual customers at the time of the class peak, which may or may not occur at the same time as the system peak. This factor is used to allocate all demand-related distribution costs.

Monthly peak demands for the Large Commercial class were used to estimate demand allocators for these classes. For the non-demand billed classes, demand allocators were

based on load research studies for other utilities and the system characteristics of Fort Pierre in relation to the specific classes of service.

### **Energy Allocations**

Energy costs have been allocated based on the estimated energy requirements of each customer class as measured at the inlet to the Fort Pierre distribution system.

The following three allocations utilize weighted percentages that were developed by analyzing the number of customers in each class and the resources used to serve each class. The weighting factors were based on the experience of other utilities and Fort Pierre staff observations.

### **Customer Facilities Allocations**

Customer facilities allocations are based on the complexity and size of the transformers, distribution secondary lines, and service lines used to serve the various customer classes.

### **Customer Service Allocations**

Customer service allocations are based on the amount of labor and materials for customer billing and collection.

### **Metering Allocations**

Metering allocations are based on the time spent reading and maintaining the meters of the various customer classes. These costs vary between customers who have or do not have a demand meter installed.

Based upon the cost classifications and allocation methods described above, MRES has estimated the cost to serve each customer classification during the Test Year. The results are shown on Exhibit 3-C at the end of this section.

Classification of Test Year Requirements Fort Pierre Municipal Utilities

| O              | Total                    | \$ 998,289 \$<br>155,904          | 275,627<br>36,124                     | 21,675<br>50,574   | ! | 18,500   | 000,1   | 300   | 250   | 5,000           | 5,000           | 6,500                    | 4,000           | 120,000                  | 1,000           | 000,1          | 00°,4           | 18.313  | 4,213           | 2,000           | 7,250           | 56,950   | 450,000                  | (204,964) | (182,400) | (38,000)  | (16,000)                                      | 75,000          |  |
|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|---|---|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|---|---|-----------------|--|
| Coincident     |                          | 441,143 \$ 5!<br>155,904          |                                       |  |   |  |   |   |   |                 |                 |                          |                 |                          |                 |                |                 |         |                 |                 |                 |          |                          |           |           |   |   |                 |  |
| Non-Coincident | Peak (NCP) Energy Demand | 557,146                           | 137,814                               | 20,067   |   | 9,250  | 198   | 40  | 66  | 2,500           | 2,500           | 3,750                    | 2,000           | 87,750                   | 200             | 2 250          | 2,230           |         | 2,107           | 1,000           | 3,625           | 56,950   | 347,316                  | (204,964) | (182,400) | (19,000)  | (6,349)                                       | 37,500          |  |
|                | Facilities<br>(CF)       |                                   | 137,814                               | 21,740   |   | 9,250  | 450<br>218                                    | 43  | 107   | 2,500           | 2,500           | 3,750                    | 2,000           | 29,250                   | 000             | 2 250          | 250             | 2       | 2,107           | 1,000           | 3,625           |          | 99,684                   |           | (40,000   | (19,000)  | (6,878)                                       | 37,500          |  |
| Customer       | Service<br>(CS)          |                                   | 36,124                                | 5,479  |   | 700  | 100   | ţ <del>L</del>                                | 27  |                 |                 |                          |                 |                          |                 |                |                 | 18.313  |                 |                 |                 |          |                          |           |           | (1 511)   | (1,733)                                       |                 |  |
|                | Metering<br>(MR)         |                                   | 21 675                                | 3,288  |   | 3  | 33  | ς ~   | 16  |                 |                 | 2,000                    |                 | 3,000                    |                 |                |                 |         |                 |                 |                 |          | 3,000                    |           |           | (206)   | (1,040)                                       |                 |  |
|                | Basis for Classification | Per Wholesale Billings<br>100% CP | 50% NCP, 50% CF<br>100% CS<br>100% MR | IND'% MIR. Indirect rev. and exp. allocation factors (C) |   | 100% CS<br>Indirect rev. and exp. allocation factors (C) | Indirect rev. and exp. allocation factors (C) | Indirect rev. and exp. allocation factors (C) | Indirect rev. and exp. allocation factors (C) | 50% NCP, 50% CF | 50% NCP, 50% CF | Per expense requirements | 50% NCP, 50% CF | Per expense requirements | 50% NCP, 50% CP | 50% NCP 50% CF | 50% NCP, 50% CF | 100% CS | 50% NCP, 50% CF | 50% NCP, 50% CF | 50% NCP, 50% CF | 100% NCP | Per capital requirements | 100% NCP  | TOUS NCF  | 50% INCF, 50% CF<br>Indirect rev. and exp. allocation factors (C) | Indirect rev. and exp. allocation factors (C) | 50% NCP, 50% CF |  |

<sup>(</sup>A) Expenses and revenues are adjusted to level of typical year.(B) These amounts offset revenue requirements.(C) Indirect revenue and expenses are allocated based on breakdown of direct labor expenses and classifications of other distribution expenses.

# Fort Pierre Municipal Utilities Allocation Factors

|   |                    |                     | Small              | Large              |
|---|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|   | Total              | Residential         | Commercial         | Commercial         |
| DEMAND ALLOCATION FACTORS                             |                    |                     |                    |                    |
| 12 Month Coincident Peak (kW)<br>Percentage - CP      | 55,708<br>100%     | 29,816<br>53.5%     | 9,856<br>17.7%     | 16,036<br>28.8%    |
| 12 Month Non-Coincident Peak (kW)<br>Percentage - NCP | 63,730<br>100%     | 33,256<br>52.2%     | 11,608<br>18.2%    | 18,866<br>29.6%    |
| ENERGY ALLOCATION FACTORS                             |                    |                     |                    |                    |
| Annual Energy Requirements (kWh)<br>Percentage - E    | 23,291,345<br>100% | 12,624,165<br>54.2% | 3,813,220<br>16.4% | 6,853,960<br>29.4% |
| CUSTOMER FACILITIES<br>ALLOCATION FACTORS             |                    |                     |                    |                    |
| Average number of customers                           | 1,382              | 1,105               | 231                | 46                 |
| Weighted number of customers Percentage - CF          | 2,073              | 1,105               | 416 20.1%          | 552<br>26.6%       |
| CUSTOMER SERVICE<br>ALLOCATION FACTORS                |                    |                     |                    |                    |
| Average number of customers                           | 1,382              | 1,105               | 231                | 46                 |
| Weighted number of customers<br>Percentage - CS       | 1,428              | 1,105<br>77.4%      | 231<br>16.2%       | 92<br>92<br>6.4%   |
| METERING SERVICE<br>ALLOCATION FACTORS                |                    |                     |                    |                    |
| Average number of customers                           | 1,382              | 1,105               | 231                | 46                 |
| Weighted number of customers<br>Percentage - MR       | 1,428              | 1,105               | 9                  | 92<br>92<br>6.4%   |

# Fort Pierre Municipal Utilities Allocation of Revenue Requirements

| Classification             |       | Total        | Re | Residential     | S  | Small   | ပိ           | Large<br>Commercial |
|----------------------------|-------|--------------|----|-----------------|----|---------|--------------|---------------------|
|                            |       |              |    |                 |    |         |              |                     |
| Coincident Peak Demand     | €     | 597,047      | ↔  | 319,554         | ↔  | 105,629 | ↔            | 171,864             |
| Energy                     | 7,    | 557,146      |    | 301,979         |    | 91,215  |              | 163,952             |
| Non-Coincident Peak Demand | •     | 300,114      |    | 156,609         |    | 54,664  |              | 88,841              |
| Customer Facilities        | •     | 325,141      |    | 173,331         |    | 65,223  |              | 86,587              |
| Customer Service           |       | 56,872       |    | 44,008          |    | 9,200   |              | 3,664               |
| Metering                   |       | 31,135       |    | 24,093          |    | 5,037   |              | 2,006               |
| Revenue Requirements       | \$ 1, | \$ 1,867,455 | \$ | \$ 1,019,574 \$ | 49 | 330,967 | <del>G</del> | 516,913             |